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ABSTRACT

Background: There is conflicting evidence regarding the relationship between iron stores and cardiovascular disease (CVD). The present study aimed to investigate the association between body iron indices and some cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods: In a case–control study conducted in the south of Shiraz, Iran, we determined ferritin, iron, total iron binding capacity (TIBC), metabolic risk factors, C-reactive protein (CRP), and anthropometric measurements in 100 men aged 45 years and higher with newly diagnosed CVD and 100 adjusted controls without evidence for CVD.

Results: The mean of low density lipoprotein (LDL-c), CRP, and ferritin concentrations were significantly higher in cases than controls, and high density lipoprotein (HDL-c) was significantly lower in cases than controls. Pearson correlation coefficient between CRP and the other risk factors in case group showed that only ferritin, serum iron, waist circumference, and LDL-c significantly correlated with CRP ($r = 0.32$ with $P < 0.001$, $r = 0.29$ with $P < 0.05$, $r = 0.41$ with $P < 0.01$, and $r = 0.36$ with $P < 0.001$, respectively).

Conclusions: This study indicated an association between a positive balance of body iron and CVD. Hence, caution should be exercised in administration of iron supplements to patients with CVD and in consumption of food rich in iron by them.

Keywords: C-reactive protein, cardiovascular disease, ferritin

INTRODUCTION

Although researchers have made tremendous gains in understanding cardiovascular disease (CVD) over the past several decades, traditionally recognized risk factors such as cholesterol levels, blood pressure, smoking, obesity, and sedentary lifestyle only account for 50% of the incidence of heart disease. It is clear that aggressive approaches to correct elevated cholesterol levels and recommendations for modifying other coronary risk factors are not enough. With this in mind, recent researches have taken a sharp turn to identify other casual factors such as
chronic infections and elevated iron levels. Iron is a key component in catalyzing the production of reactive radicals and causing oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation.\textsuperscript{[1‑4]} Oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation have been linked to several pathologies, including atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{[5‑7]} Excessive iron has been proposed to be a potent risk factor for coronary heart disease (CHD), especially for acute myocardial infarction (AMI).\textsuperscript{[8‑10]} Supporting evidence comes from \textit{in vitro} lipid peroxidation and lipoprotein modification studies,\textsuperscript{[11,12]} cholesterol-fed iron-overloaded animal models,\textsuperscript{[13,14]} and analyses of the composition of human atherosclerotic lesions,\textsuperscript{[15,16]} although there is conflicting evidence regarding the relationship between iron and CVD.

Of these, increased estimated body iron stores have been associated with increased risk of CHD death or AMI in some,\textsuperscript{[17,18]} but not in all studies.\textsuperscript{[19‑21]} On the other hand, chronic inflammation has been hypothesized to promote the development and progression of atherosclerosis. Higher levels of inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) have indicated increased CVD risk.\textsuperscript{[22,23]} Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate the relationship between body iron situation with cardiovascular risk factors and inflammation in patients with CVD.

\textbf{METHODS}

\textbf{Participants and design}

The present study is a case-control study. The study sample comprised 883 men, older than 45 years, who referred to Al-zahra Heart Hospital of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences between 2007 and 2009 for coronary angiography. Coronary angiograms were assessed by an experienced cardiologist. Finally, 100 participants who fulfilled all the inclusion criteria were chosen. Criteria for case inclusion were: (a) age older than 45 years; (b) no prevalent CHD (prevalent CHD was defined as either a history of AMI or angina pectoris, positive angina pectoris on effort, or use of nitroglycerin tablets); (c) absence of any systematic disease; (d) no vitamin or mineral supplements, antihypertensive drugs, and antilipemic medications taken regularly during previous years; (e) no smoking; and (f) significant artery disease (was defined by the presence of coronary stenosis). One hundred control participants, matched according to age, sex, coronary angiogram examination, and place of residence, were chosen.

Participants were given an oral and written explanation of the study, including its procedures, and were asked to read and sign an informed consent document. The study protocol and ethical aspects were approved by the ethics committee of the Research Council of the Dean of Research Affairs of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

\textbf{Background characteristics}

Demographic data, any concurrent illness history, and information on medication, smoking, and vitamin and mineral supplementation were collected by interviews. Anthropometric assessment was done, and food consumption data were collected. Anthropometric assessments included measurement of weight and height. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using the Seca 713 scales while the participants were minimally clothed. Height of the participants without shoes was determined using measuring tape, and subsequently body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by squared height (m\textsuperscript{2}). Waist circumference (WC) was measured using an inelastic tape over light clothing at the point midway between the iliac crest and the last floating rib at the end of a normal inhalation. Hip circumference (HC) was measured at the maximal gluteal protrusion or at the most prominent area of the buttocks at the level of symphysis pubis in a horizontal plane.

\textbf{Biochemical measurements}

After the patients underwent coronary angiography, 5 ml fasting venous blood samples were drawn from the arm. Blood was collected for measurement of serum ferritin, total iron binding capacity (TIBC), serum iron, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL-c), high-density lipoprotein (HDL-c), and C-reactive protein (CRP). Ferritin was measured using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method [the interassay coefficient of variation (CV) ranged from 3.8\% to 5.1\%]; serum iron (CVs ranged from 3.1\% to 3.6\%) and TIBC (CVs ranged from 3.3\% to 5.1\%) were measured by the colorimetric method. TC (CVs ranged from 3.3\% to 4.1\%), TG (CVs ranged from 2.9\% to
3.6%), and HDL-c (CVs ranged from 3.6% to 4.2%) were measured using enzymatic colorimetric assay. CRP (CVs ranged from 4.3% to 6.9%) was measured using immunoturbidimetric assay. The LDL-c was estimated using the Friedewald formula: LDL-c = TC – HDL-c – (TG/5).²⁴

Statistical analysis

Data processing and statistical analyses were done using SPSS version 11 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, 2001). Normally distributed data were expressed as mean (±SDs) and were compared by independent Student’s t-test. The simple linear regression model was used to test for possible association (r), and multiple linear regression analysis using stepwise methods was performed to determine the most significant predictors of changes, i.e., CRP. Significance was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

The main risk factor characteristics among the case and control participants are shown in Table 1. The mean serum ferritin, serum iron, CRP, weight, BMI, WC, and LDL-c were significantly higher and HDL-c was significantly lower among the cases than the controls.

It is observed in Table 2 that the Pearson correlation coefficients between CRP and the other risk factors in case group showed that only ferritin, serum iron, WC, and LDL-c significantly correlated with CRP (r = 0.32 with P < 0.001, r = 0.29 with P < 0.05, r = 0.41 with P < 0.01, and r = 0.36 with P < 0.001, respectively). Further investigation into the changes in CRP in the case group was carried out using multiple regression analysis in which the independent variables included were: Ferritin, serum iron, BMI, WC, HC, LDL, and HDL. Using regression procedure, only WC contributed significantly to the CRP (r = 0.58, P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

The result of our study showed that the concentration of ferritin as an indicator of iron status was significantly higher in patients with CVD. More than two decades ago, it was proposed that differences in body iron stores may account for differential heart disease prevalence in men and women.²⁵ The iron-heart disease hypothesis rests on the supposition that high body iron burdens are a risk factor for increased oxidative stress, and oxidative stress is a risk factor for chronic diseases such as heart disease. Initial support for the iron hypothesis came from a prospective study on middle-aged eastern Finnish men,²⁶ which showed that men with serum ferritin concentration higher than 200 µg/l had a 2.2-fold factor-adjusted risk of AMI compared with those with serum ferritin concentration lower than 200 µg/l. Also, in a cohort study, Tuomaine and co-workers²⁷ showed that voluntary blood donors had a relative AMI risk of 0.14 compared with non-donors.

### Table 1: Demographic and laboratory characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60±3.6</td>
<td>63±1.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74.8±1.5</td>
<td>68.5±1.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>176.8±0.6</td>
<td>174.9±1.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.3±6</td>
<td>24.4±3.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>114.9±1.5</td>
<td>91.4±3.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>107.5±1.3</td>
<td>105.3±1.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum iron (mg/ml)</td>
<td>119.1±6.8</td>
<td>78.6±5.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (mg/ml)</td>
<td>241.4±44</td>
<td>204.1±26</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>193.8±7.15</td>
<td>188.4±8.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>235.6±4.16</td>
<td>179.5±6.22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c (mg/dl)</td>
<td>153.6±4.0</td>
<td>113.6±5.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-c (mg/dl)</td>
<td>36.3±06.1</td>
<td>48.8±3.1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (µg/dl)</td>
<td>28.8±3.8</td>
<td>9.5±1.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI=Body mass index, TG=Triglyceride, LDL=Low-density lipoprotein, HDL=High-density lipoprotein, CRP=C-reactive protein, NS=Not significant

### Table 2: Correlation of risk factors with C-reactive protein in case group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum iron (mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-c (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI=Body mass index, TG=Triglyceride, LDL=Low-density lipoprotein, HDL=High-density lipoprotein
Short-term changes in blood constituents take place after blood donation, and the reduction in serum ferritin concentration, indicating loss of iron, is the marked consequence. But based on *in vivo* and *in vitro* findings, this hypothesis is inconsistent. While the results of some studies have been in favor of iron being a risk factor, others have not.\[28\]

A possible explanation for the controversy concerning ferritin levels and CAD may be the fact that ferritin is an acute phase reactant. Although serum ferritin concentration is the best noninvasively measurable indicator of body iron stores, ferritin is an acute phase protein that may become elevated in inflammation. Therefore, studies that used myocardial infarction as atherosclerosis indicator may be confounded by the inflammatory response associated with these conditions. However, to rule out potential confounding, we assessed serum iron and TIBC also. But the best indicator of iron status could be measurement of serum soluble transferrin receptor concentration. On the other hand, we excluded subjects with any other disease that may be the cause of elevated ferritin.

Several lines of evidence have suggested an important role of inflammation in the development of atherosclerosis and CVDs. Some studies showed that increases in inflammatory indices such as CRP are very responsive to iron stores. In our study, the statistical model showed that when the serum ferritin concentration was used as an independent variable, participants with higher level of ferritin had a higher concentration of CRP ($r = 0.39, P < 0.001$). On the other hand, in our study, CRP has a significant correlation with LDL-c. An explanation for the correlation between ferritin and CRP in this study may be the fact that lipid peroxidation via the interaction of lipids with iron may play a role in increasing oxidative stress, thereby promoting chronic inflammation. However, the association between ferritin and CRP may be explained by infection.

CRP is made by the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα).\[29\] Adipose tissue is a major source of these inflammatory cytokines.\[30\] Consequently, a strong positive association has been found between measures of obesity, such as WC and BMI, and CRP.\[31,32\] Our study did not show a significant correlation between obesity and CRP, whereas WC as an indicator of central obesity showed positive correlation with CRP ($r = 0.41, P < 0.001$). This finding suggests that BMI is an indicator of heaviness rather than fatness, and cannot distinguish body fat from fat-free mass. Further investigation into the changes in CRP concentration in these participants was carried out using multiple regression analysis in which the independent variables included were: age, BMI, WC, HC, serum iron, ferritin, TG, TC, LDL-c, and HDL-c. Using a stepwise regression procedure, only WC contributed significantly to the CRP level ($r = -0.39, P < 0.001$); thus, participants with higher WC also had a higher CRP concentration. An excess of visceral fat associated with obesity is an important source of molecules including metabolic disorders. Inflammatory cytokines produced in visceral fat cause elevation of serum CRP, which is reported to be positively correlated with a number of metabolic alterations, such as CVD.

In this study, a limitation was that serum ferritin is an acute phase reactant that is elevated in infections and inflammation, although we controlled this problem by measuring serum iron and TIBC, and also excluded participants with any other disease that tends to increase the concentration of iron in the body.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, although in this study we showed an association between serum ferritin and CRP as a potent cardiovascular risk factor, by using multiple regression analysis, between all independent variables, only WC showed significant correlation with CRP. So, we can suggest that WC is a better surrogate of elevation CRP. On the other hand, the result of this study revealed that elevated level of body iron combined with elevated levels of LDL-c and WC are associated with significantly higher level of CRP.
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